IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF MALAYSIA IN PUTRAJAYA (APPELLATE JURISDICTION) <u>CIVIL APPEAL NO: W-01-91-08</u>

BETWEEN

PERAM RANUM BERHAD

... APPELLANT

AND

KETUA PENGARAH HASIL DALAM NEGERI

... RESPONDENT

Revenue Law– interpretation – subparagraph 60(3)(b)(ii) - Income Tax Act 1967

lssue	:	Whether the uplifting of fixed deposits upon maturity can be considered as a realization of investment for the purposes of subparagraph 60(3) (b) (ii) of the Income Tax Act 1967.
Decision	:	The Court of Appeal dismissed the tax payer's appeal.
		The uplifting of fixed deposits cannot be regarded as a realization of investment. The fixed deposit itself is not an investment.
Status	:	No grounds of judgment are provided by the Court of Appeal. No right of further appeal.

Date of Judgment : 5 April 2012